BEFORE THE

MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

MUMBAI

COMPLAINT NOS:

Yobu Joseph	CC006000000022918
Kashinath Shirwadka	CC006000000022989
Gopal Kawali	CC006000000023008
Dinesh Bhalekar	CC006000000023016
Raghunath Sawant	CC0060000000022931
Shivajyothi Yobu	CC006000000023034
Prasad Dagle	CC0060000000022960
Prashant Mungekar	CC006000000023142

CC006000000023142 ... Complainants

Versus

Samarth Krupa Developer MahaRERA Regn. No. P51800008706

Respondent

Corum:

Shri. Gautam Chatterjee, Chairperson, MahaRERA

Complainants were themselves present along with Mr. Tejas Vaidya, Adv. Respondent was represented by Mr. Chintan Savla, Partner along with Mr. M.K. Tanna, Adv.

Order

May 11, 2018

- 1. The present complaints have been filed by allottees who have purchased apartments via registered agreements for sale in the Respondent's projects 'ANAND MANGAL' situated at Kurla, Mumbai. The Complainants, in their complaints, have alleged that the Respondent has failed to handover possession of their apartments till date, though pursuant to their respective agreements for sale, the Respondent was required to handover possession of the apartments in 2016.
- The Complainants, who are interested in getting possession of their completed apartments, have, inter-alia, prayed that the Respondent be directed to pay interest, on delay, as per the provisions of section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation and

Gran -

Development) Act, 2016 and to commit to a reasonable timeline for handing over possession of their apartments.

3. The advocate for the Respondent stated how in the said project, which is under the

SRA scheme, the construction work could not be completed for reasons beyond the

control of the Respondent. Further, he submitted that the Respondent is committing

to hand over possession of the said apartments by September, 2018.

4. The Complainants submitted that their primary concern is getting possession of their

completed apartments. Therefore, for the present moment they are more interested in

having a definite time period for the project's completion from the Respondent and

will not press for delayed interest under Section 18 of the Act, at this stage. Further,

they submitted that if they do not see the efforts of the Respondent towards the

completion of the project or otherwise at an appropriate stage, they should be at liberty

to withdraw from the said project or demand interest, on delay, as per the provisions

of section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 and the rules

and regulations made thereunder, from the Respondent.

5. In view of the above facts, the Respondent shall, therefore, handover the possession of

the apartments, with Occupancy Certificate, to the Complainant before the period of

September 30, 2018.

6. Since, the Complainants have not pressed for directions for delayed interest under

Section 18 of the Act, at this stage, and sought liberty to raise the same at an

appropriate stage, no orders are being made with respect to the said prayer.

7. Consequently, the matters are hereby disposed of.

(Gautam Chatterjee)

Chairperson, MahaRERA