

**MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE
TRIBUNAL UNDER RERA ACT**

(10)

No.AT006000000000169

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Mr. Abdul Rahim Abdul Aziz Thakur
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(11)

No.AT006000000000172

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

3. Mr. Jagdish S. Shinde
4. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(12)

No.AT006000000000173

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Mr. Altaf Bangi
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(13)

No.AT006000000000174

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Mr. Quais Ayub Dabar
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(14)

No.AT006000000000175

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Rupali Vasudeo Patil
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(15)

No.AT006000000000176

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Sarla Vasudeo Patil
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(16)

No.AT006000000000177

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Imran Hasan Kazi
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(17)

No.AT006000000000178

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Badruddin Thakur
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

(18)

No.AT006000000000179

M.M. Developers . Appellant/s
V/s.

1. Mohammad Shahabuddin Siddiquie
2. Pramod P. Pisal ... Respondent/s

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri K. U. CHANDIWAL, J.
President, Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal,
Mumbai
& I/c. Maharashtra Real Estate Appellate Tribunal
under Maharashtra RERA Act
DATED:-11th April, 2018

:- ORDER :-

Called out at 3.10 PM.

Adv. Pankaj Sutar Jayakar & Partners, for the Appellants.

Adv. Rajesh Chainani a/w Adv.Aruna Mehta a/w Adv. Malcolm for S. Pathak & Co., for the Respondent

Heard Shri Pankaj Sutar for the appellant MM Developers. Shri Vikrant Nimabalkar for the Respondent no.2 (Sr.No. 10,12,17 & 18)

Shri Sutar for M.M Developers. Shri Sutar says the appellant could not comply order dtd. 6th April 2018 of 50% deposit in pursuance to direction directing M.M. Developers to deposit 50% of the sums as was directed under impugned Order of MahaRERA dated 19th January, 2017. According to Mr. Sutar as negotiations between the parties were in progress, he was given an impression that today the matter could be worked out.

As it is, it was specifically notified on 6th April 2018 if the directions of deposit are not adhered to, the appeal shall be summarily dismissed.

As the order is not complied with, the consequences are, the appeals of M.M. Developers are dismissed.

No costs.

With liberty to revive on compliance in reasonable time.



Place: Mumbai (K. U. CHANDIWAL, J.)
Dated: 11th April, 2018 President,
Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal,
Mumbai
& I/c. Maharashtra Real Estate
Appellate Tribunal, (MahaRERA),
Mumbai