

**BEFORE THE  
MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
MUMBAI.**

**COMPLAINT NO: CC006000000044097**

Alex Isaac

... Complainant.

**Versus**

M/s. Radius & Deserve LLP  
(Anantya 1A)

... Respondents.

MahaRERA Regn: P51800005533

**Coram:** Shri B.D. Kapadnis,  
Hon'ble Member & Adjudicating Officer.

**Appearance:**

Complainant: Absent.

Respondents: Adv. Vibhav Krishna i/b  
Juris Consillis.

**FINAL ORDER**

**10<sup>th</sup> May 2018.**

Today the case is for appearance of the parties. The complainant has not appeared though the notice has been served upon him. The respondents appeared through their learned advocate Shri Vibhav Krishna. Heard him.

2. The complainant contends in his complain that on CTS No. 200, Survey No. 103 there were 20 - 25 huts and they have been demolished without the survey being conducted by the Collector. It is also ascertained that there is no SRA scheme which is being developed on the said land. He has moved various authorities such as the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Dy. Collector, Chembur and the Hon'ble Lokayukta, State of Maharashtra for the purpose of removing illegal barricade and take necessary action

  
\_\_\_\_\_

against the builder. According to him these authorities have not taken any action, therefore, he has filed this complaint.


3. Section 31 of RERA provides that any aggrieved person can file the complaint against allottee, promoter or registered Real Estate Agent on violation and contravention of any of the provisions of RERA or Rules and Regulations framed thereunder. The facts referred to above do not show that any of the provisions of RERA or Rules and Regulations made thereunder have been either violated or contravened. Therefore, this complaint is not maintainable. Hence the order.

### ORDER

The complaint is dismissed.

Mumbai.

Date: 10.05.2018.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
10 5 18  
( B. D. Kapadnis )  
Member & Adjudicating Officer,  
MahaRERA, Mumbai.