

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY,
MUMBAI**

COMPLAINT No: CC006000000001283

Mrs. Suman J. Nar

.. Complainant

Versus

M/s. SSV Developer & Builder

MahaRERA Registration No. P51800007086

..... Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh, Member 1

Advocate Mr. Phal a/w Advocate Mr. B. L. Mangale appeared for the complainant.

Advocate Mr. Vinod Sampat appeared for the respondent

ORDER

14th December, 2017

1. The complainant who is the Secretary of the Saidham SRA CHS Ltd. has filed this complaint for cancellation of MahaRERA Registered project bearing No. P51800007086. According to the complainant, this is a violation of section 4 of the RERA Act, 2016. It is the case of the complainant that the appointment of the respondent, as a promoter of the S.R. Scheme under regulation 33(10) of the DCR-1991, had been terminated by the Chief Executive Officer, SRA by invoking the provision of Section 13(2) of the Maharashtra Slum Areas (I,C R) Act, 1971 vide order dated 20-06-2017 and he is no more promoter on record of the SRA for the said project.
2. This matter was heard on 5-12-2017. The advocate for the complainant pleaded his case and stated that though the appointment of the respondent had been terminated vide order dated 20-06-2017 by the CEO,SRA, he had not uploaded the said order on MahaRERA website and thereby mislead the Authority as well as the Allottees, who had booked the flats in the said project. Therefore, he prayed for cancellation of the

registration certificate issued by the MahaRERA in favour of the respondent.

3. However, the Advocate for the respondent has clarified that the respondent is implementing the amalgamated scheme for a number of other Societies and his appointment had been terminated by the complainant Society. However, other Societies still want him to continue as their promoter. Even the respondent has challenged the order dated 20-06-2017 passed by the CEO, SRA in Apex Grievance Redressal Committee, constituted under the provisions of Maharashtra Slum Areas (I,C&R) Act, 1971.
4. Considering the rival submissions made by both the parties, this Authority had observed that like other ongoing projects, this project was also required to be registered under RERA Act, 2016. Further, the order of termination passed by the CEO, SRA is under challenge before the appropriate forum. In view of the said facts, this Authority had directed the respondent to upload the relevant information about his termination on MahaRERA website till then he was restrained from selling of flats in the said project.
5. This matter was again heard today. The respondent has clarified that he had uploaded the relevant information about his termination on the website of MahaRERA and hence complied with the directions given by this Authority.
6. In view of the above compliance, nothing survives in this complaint. Hence the complaint stands dismissed. If the complainant has any other grievance, she may approach the appropriate forum.



(Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh)
Member-1