BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, MUMBAI

1.	COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055727
Mr. Tukaram Numba Bilwar	e Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent.
	Along with
2.	COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055737
Mr. Krishna Baburao Redek	car Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent.
	Along with
3.	COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055735
Mr.Sayajirao Madhukar Boi	rkar Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Along with
4.	COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055739
Mr. Mangesh Baban Nalav	vade Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent

andy

Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Along with 6. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055741 Mr. Nitin Eknath Jagtap Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC0060000000055759	5. COMPLAINT No	: CC006000000055729
Along with 6. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055741 Mr. Nitin Eknath Jagtap Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant Complainant Along with	Mr. Johar Singh	Complainant
Along with 6. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055741 Mr. Nitin Eknath Jagtap Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant	Versus	
6. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055741 Mr. Nitin Eknath Jagtap Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant	M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
Mr. Nitin Eknath Jagtap Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant	Along	with
Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant	6. COMPLAINT No	: CC006000000055741
M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant	Mr. Nitin Eknath Jagtap	Complainant
Along with 7. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainan Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	Versus	
7. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055757 Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainan Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainant	Along	with
Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	7. COMPLAINT NO	: CC00600000055757
M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	Mr. Rahul Babusha Dumbre	Complainant
Along with 8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	Versus	
8. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055759 Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste Complainan	Along	with
	8. COMPLAINT NO	o: CC006000000055759
Versus	Mr. Nitin Shashikant Raste	Complainant
	Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent		

9.	COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055760
Mr. Vishal Abhay Parkar	Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Along with
10	.COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055761
Santosh Tukaram Labde	Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Along with
11	.COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055762
Mr. Ulhas Dattaram Parak	Complainant
Versus	
Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Respondent
	Respondent Along with
M/s. Rupji Constructions	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Along with 2. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055808
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Along with 2. COMPLAINT No: CC00600000055808

13.COMPL	AINT No: CC00600000055782
Mr. Madhukar Tatyaji Lohokare	Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Along with
14.COMPL	AINT No: CC006000000055788
Mr. Devendra Shridhar Shirodkar	Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Along with
15.COMPL	AINT No: CC00600000055795
Mr. Pandurang Radhuji Dhonnar	Complainant
Versus	
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent
	Along with
16.COMPI	AINT No: CC00600000055797
Mr. Ghanshyam R.Hule	
Versus	Complainant
M/s. Rupji Constructions	Respondent

17. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055813 Mr. Mangesh Anil Rane Complainant Versus M/s. Rupji Constructions Respondent Along with 18. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055811 Mr. Mahendra Chintaman Patil Complainant Versus Respondent M/s. Rupji Constructions Along with 19. COMPLAINT No: CC006000000055914 Mr. Sandip A. Soni & Mr. Vikram R. Warrier Complainants

MahaRERA Registration No. P51900007380

Versus

M/s. Rupji Constructions

Coram: Hon'ble Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh, Member 1

Adv. Sandeep Bane appeared for all 19 complainants.

None appeared for the respondent.

hour

...... Respondent

ORDER

(25th October, 2018)

- 1. The above named 19 complainants have filed these complaints seeking directions from MahaRERA to the respondent to pay interest for the delayed possession under section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 in respect of booking of their respective flats in the respondent's project known as "Akanksha" bearing MahaRERA Registration No. P51900007380 at Andheri (West) Mumbai. The complainants further requested the MahaRERA to direct the respondent not to create third party interest in respect of their respective flats and to execute the registered agreement for sale with them in the above mentioned project.
- 2. These matters were clubbed together and heard finally today. During the hearing, the complainants appeared through their advocate Shri Sandeep Bane. However, none appeared for the respondent. It was brought to the notice of MahaRERA that the respondent / promoter is in jail for last more than six months and therefore he is unable to attend this hearing.
- 3. The complainants have argued that they have booked their respective flats in the respondent's project in the year 2009 and paid more than 10% amount to the respondent. However, till date, neither the registered agreements for sale have been executed with the complainants nor the possession of their respective flats have been given by the respondent. The complainants therefore requested to direct the respondent to execute the registered agreement for sale with the complainants as per provisions of RERA, Act 2016 and the Rules and Regulations made there under.
- 4. Considering the aforesaid facts brought to the notice, the MahaRERA feels that since the respondent / promoter is in jail custody and till he is released



from jail, he would not be able to execute the registered agreements for sale with the complainants. Therefore, as on today, the MahaRERA cannot issue directions under section 13 of the RERA Act for execution of registered However, since the agreement for sale with the complainants. complainants have shown the allotment letters issued in favor of all the complainants which shows that they have booked their respective flats in the respondent's project and paid the booking amounts to the respondent, they are the allottees as defined under section 2(d) of the RERA Act of the said project.

- 5. With regard to the claim of the complainants for interest for the delayed possession as sought by the complainants, the MahaRERA feels that there is no agreement for sale executed between the complainants and the respondent and therefore there is no agreed date of possession for handing over of the flats to the complainants. Hence, the complainants cannot seek interest under section 18 of the RERA Act, 2016 and the Rules and Regulations made thereunder.
- 6. In view of these facts and in compliance of principles of natural justice, the MahaRERA directs the respondent not to create third party interest in respect of the flats booked by the complainants in this project till the execution of registered agreement for sale.
- 7. With the above directions, all 19 complaints stands disposed of.

(Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh)

Beson

Member-1/MahaRERA