BEFORE THE

MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

MUMBAI

COMPLAINT NO: CC00600000012023

Ratish Krishnan Versus Snehalkumar Chandrakant Nadkarni ... Complainant.

... Respondent.

MahaRERA Regn: P51900005928

Coram: Hon'ble Shri B.D. KAPADNIS.

Appearance:

Complainant: In person. Respondent: In Person.

Final Order.

28th March 2018

The parties have settled their dispute amicably by filing the consent terms marked Exhibit 'A'.

Hence, the order.

<u>Order.</u>

The complaint stands disposed off in terms of consent terms marked Exhibit 'A'.

Exhibit 'A' shall form the part of the order.

283.18

(B.D. Kapadnis) (Member & Adjudicating Officer) MahaRERA, Mumbai

Mumbai Date: 28.03.2018.

To, The Registrar 28[3|2018, Hon.

Bat. A

Subject. My Complaint No ECDOLODODDDD1223 dt. 2011/2017, against P51900005928 - Sai Piasat Realtois With reference to the above case, it is hereby agreed that the consent terms shall be an a) Ro 2500000/: (Rupees Twenty for Dakes on w + 1- ----before 30/4/2018. b) R32300000/- (hupen Twenty five Ratchs on or + 1 - 1-1-1a) Pri 200,000/- (Rupees Twenty Jour Ratchi on or biofore 30/0/2018. On successed Por 2500 000/ (Second Mistalement) The second of 1 + 18-the 100 at 00 stand The appearment for flat No 1402 shall stand Concelled and the cancellation dead will be period. ALL the the registered by both the fartion. Regards, Respondent Complaintant Am Sound -0 RER Parties admit the contents 151 28.318

BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY MUMBAI.

Complaint No. CC00600000012023

Project No. P51900005928

Ratish Krishnan

---Complainant.

Versus

Snehalkumar Chandrakant Nadkarni

---Respondent.

Coram: Shri B.D. Kapadnis, Hon'ble Member & Adjudicating Officer.

ORDER FOR RECOVERY UNDER SECTION 40(1) FOR NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE ORDER DATED 28.03.2018.

The parties have settled their dispute by filing consent terms marked Exh.'A' on 28th March 2018. However, the respondent has not complied with the order and therefore the complainant has moved this Authority for execution of the order/consent terms. The respondent appeared on last date to promise that he would keep his word. The complainant brings to my notice that till the date respondent has not paid any money as promised. Therefore, there is no alternative but to issue recovery warrant under Section 40(1) of RERA directing the Collector, Mumbai City to recover the amount mentioned in the order from the respondent and pay to the complainant and report the compliance.

(B.D. KAPADNIS) Member & Adjudicating Officer, MahaRERA, Mumbai.

Mumbai Date: 14.06.2018.