BEFORE THE

MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

MUMBAI

COMPLAINT NO: CC0060000000287

VASANT JADHAV Versus KAILAS PATIL ... Complainant.

... Respondent.

MahaRERA Regn: P51700006977

Coram: Hon'ble Shri B.D. KAPADNIS.

05th October 2017

Final Order

The complainant has filed this complaint u/s. 18 Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 (for short, RERA) for getting compensation on account of the respondent's failure to give the possession of his booked flat no. 404 in the respondent's project 'Kailas Heights' situated at Kalwa, Dist. Thane.

2. The complainant contends that the respondent is the proprietor of Ms. Trinity Construction company which launched the aforesaid project. The respondent executed the agreement of sale of the said flat on 28.08.2015 and agreed to give the possession of the said flat within 18 months from the date of agreement. However, for one reason or the other he avoided to complete the construction of the building and give possession of the booked flat. Hence the complainant claims a compensation amounting to Rs. 10,000/-per month of last five years towards the house rent and the mental harassment. He also claims the future house rent at the rate of Rs. 10,000/- per month till he gets the possession.

3. Respondent admits that the possession of the flat has not been given till the date. He has filed the reply to contend that after commencement of the construction in the year 2008 a bridge constructed on a stream collapsed and therefore, he could not continue the construction till the year 2012 when the bridge was reconstructed. He further contends that in the record of rights the area of survey no. 48/4 is shown 2,230 sq. meters but in the record of inspector of land records it was less than that. In order to

get it corrected, he had to wait till 30.12.2014. Thereafter he submitted the amended plan for construction of additional floors in the place of initial 7 floors and had to spend one year in the process. Thereafter in the year 2015 L.B.T. rules were brought into effect by Thane Municipal Corporation and it took some time to settle the issue. He also had to wait till the record of inspector of land records/ city survey office was corrected regarding the transfer of his land used for D.P. Road. Thereafter, he has submitted the amended plan on 20.07.2017 for further construction of work and the sanction is awaited. Hence he contends that the project is delayed because of the reasons which were beyond his control.

4. I have heard the parties and perused the documents produced by them.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is, whether the respondent has failed to deliver the possession of the flat on the agreed date and if yes, whether the complainant is entitled to get compensation or the interest on his investment u/s. 18 of RERA?

The complainant has produced the copy of index II to show that the 6. respondent executed the agreement of sale of the above numbered flat in his favor on 28.08.2015. Page no. 14 of the agreement shows that respondent agreed to give possession of the flat within 18 months from the date of agreement. The contention of the complainant has not been challenged by the respondent regarding the delay in handing over the possession of the flat. The respondent has assigned the reasons of delay which are mentioned above. It is seen that initially the respondent was to construct a building having only 7 stories. Thereafter he changed his mind to add additional floors and according to him till 2017 the process of obtaining the sanction is going on. The facts to which the respondent refers to above are not, in my opinion, sufficient to hold that the project is delayed because of the reasons beyond his control. Not only that, during those days Maharashtra Ownership Flats (Regulation of the Promotion Of Construction, Sale Management and Transfer) at 1963 was holding the field. Section 8(b) of the said Act provides that if the promoter for reasons beyond his control is unable to give possession of the flat by date specified, or the further agreed date and a period of 3 months thereafter, or a further period of 3 months if those reasons still exist, then in such case the promoter is liable to pay the interest at the rate of 9% on the amounts paid by the buyer. Even if all the circumstances were in favor of the respondent to hold that he could not deliver the possession because of the reasons

which were beyond his control, he cannot get the extension of more than three plus three months' period from the agreed date. In any circumstance I find that the respondent has failed to deliver the possession on the agreed date and hence, he incurs the liability u/s. 18 of RERA to pay interest on the amounts paid by the complainant and in case of special damage, compensation also.

7. The complainant has produced agreement of lease entered by him with his landlord Mr. Kishen Pun to show that he has been residing in a rented house and he has to pay heavy interest on the loan amount. The complainant has produced the receipts dated 08.10.2010 of Rs. 50,000/-, 19.08.2015 of Rs. 7,00,000/-, dated 27.10.2015 of Rs. 22,50,000/-, dated 02.01.2016 of Rs. 1,53,375/- and dated 13.11.2016 of Rs. 62,490/-. Thus the complainant has paid Rs. 32,15,865/- The complainant is entitled to get simple interest at the rate of marginal cost of lending of SBI which is currently *8.15% plus 2% p.a. on these amounts. He has not made out any special case for grant of compensation. In the circumstance, I do not find that he is entitled to get the compensation separately.

In result, the order.

Order.

The respondent shall pay the complainant the monthly simple interest at the rate of *8.15% plus 2% p.a. on Rs. 32,15,865/- from the date of default i.e. from 28.02.2017, till he delivers the possession of the flat to the complainant.

The respondent shall clear the arrears of interest accrued till 30.09.2017 within one month of this order together with Rs. 20,000/- towards the cost of the complaint.

Mumbai Date: 05.10.2017. (B.D. Kapadnis) (Member & Adjudicating Officer) MahaRERA, Mumbai

*8.15% Corrected as per the order passed on 16.10.2017 u/s. 39 of RERA.