MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL UNDER RERA ACT

(10)

No.AT005000000000313

Sigma One Shilp Venture .. Appellant/s V/s. Shailesh Sudhakar Pardikar ..Respondent/s

(11)

No.AT005000000000319

Sigma One Shilp Venture .. Appellant/s V/s.

Gaurav Uday Joshi ...Respondent/s

(12)

No.AT005000000000319

Sigma One Shilp Venture .. Appellant/s V/s.

1. Parag Jain

2. Sonam Jain ...Respondent/s

(13)

No.AT00500000000320

Sigma One Shilp Venture .. Appellant/s V/s.

Prashant Madhukar

Karodpati ...Respondent/s

No.AT005000000000321

Sigma One Shilp Venture .. Appellant/s V/s.

- 1. Jitendra D. Chaudhari
- 2. Nilima Jitendra Chaudhari ...Respondent/s

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri K. U. CHANDIWAL, J. President, Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal, Mumbai & I/c. Maharashtra Real Estate Appellate Tribunal under Maharashtra RERA Act DATED:-12th December, 2018

-: ORDER :-

Called out at 5.00 P.M.

None for the Promoter / Appellant.

Respondent Gaurav, Parag, Prashant Karodpati for self and Shailesh Paradikar and Jitendra present.

A communication was sent to both the sides to appear before this Tribunal on 9th August, 2018. there was no response from the Appellant's Advocate. Only Advocate Yogesh Patil had appeared. Thereafter, on 6th September, 2018 Adv. O.S. Tilekar appeared for the Promoter and Respondents / Allottees were present. It was informed on that day that MOU in draft is exchanged and modalities are ascertained. The Respondent at the very moment informed that certain terms were not agreeable and they awaited response from the Promoter. On 6th September, 2018 the Promoter was directed in

terms of sec. 43(5) – Proviso of RERA to release 40% of the amounts as directed by the Ld. Adjudicating Officer, MahaRERA, Mumbai in the order dated 8th Jan. 2018 till 22nd September, 2018. Thereafter the appeal was fixed for hearing to 27th September, 2018.

It is informed by office of MahaRERA that in all these appeals the directions of payment dated 6th September, 2018 are not complied with, by the Promoter. The allottees again reiterate that there was no meeting personally but there was telephonic conversation but no proofs would yield.

In the above set of facts, for want of compliance the appeals of the Promoters are dismissed.

No costs.

Place: Mumbai (K. U. CHANDIWAL, J.)

Dated: 12th December,2018 President,

Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal,

Mumbai

& I/c. Maharashtra Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, (MahaRERA), Mumbai