

PRESIDENT

Domnic Romell

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Boman Irani

PRESIDENT-ELECT

Ajay Ashar

STRATEGIC ADVISOR

Abhishek Lodha

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS

Parag Shah
Jayesh Shah
Sukhraj Nahar
Sandeep Raheja
Rasesh Kanakia

VICE PRESIDENTS

Bandish Ajmera
Shailesh Puranik
Pritam Chivukula
Amit Thacker
Jackbastian Nazareth

SECRETARY

Dhaval Ajmera

TREASURER

Nikunj Sanghavi

JOINT SECRETARIES

Tejas Vyas
Pratik Patel
Sunny Bijlani
Rushi Mehta

JOINT TREASURER

Gurminder Singh Seera

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Shahid Balwa
Subodh Runwal
Parag Munot
Nainesh Shah
Mukesh Patel
Munish Doshi
Rajesh Prajapati
Shailesh Sanghvi
Parth Mehta
Harmohan Sahni
Jayvardhan Goenka
Umang Kuwadia
Prashant Khandelwal
Binitha Dalal
Ayushi Ashar
Samyag Shah
Ricardo Romell

SPECIAL ADVISORS

Ar. Hafeez Contractor
Adv. Parimal Shroff
Anuj Puri

STATISTICS AND RESEARCH

Dr. Adv. Harshul Savla

INVITEE MEMBERS

Rahul Sagar
Ramkrishna Raheja
Nishant Agarwal
Harsh Hiranandani
Ajay Nahar
Azim F. Tapia
Cherag Ramakrishnan
Vijay Lakhani
Jayesh Chauhan
Aditya Shah
Shraddha Goradia
Sudhanshu Agarwal
Hussain Lalani
Sahil Parikh
Aditya Mirchandani
Rushi Ajmera

YOUTHWING CONVENOR

Naman Shah

PROCUREMENT CONVENOR

Nimish Ajmera

WOMEN'S WING CHAIRPERSON

Sejal Goradia

To,

Dr. Sujit Bajpayee,

The Joint Secretary, IA Division,
Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change,
Indira Parayavaran Bhavan,
Jor Bagh Road,
New Delhi - 110003

Sub: Request/clarification with regard to interpretation of the Office Memorandum dated 5 May 2022 bearing no F.No.IA-22/10/2022-IA.III issued by the Ministry of Environment and Forest and Climate Change ("MOEF")

Respected Sir,

1. This is with reference to the OM dated 5 May 2022 bearing no F.No.IA-22/10/2022-IA.III issued by the MOEF (said "OM"). MOEF has issued the said OM for Building and Construction projects and Townships covered under Project / Activity No. 8 to the Schedule to the Ministry of Environment and Forests Notification No. S.O. 1533(E) dated 14 September 2006 ("EIA Notification 2006") which requires prior Environmental Clearance ("EC") from the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority ("SEIAA") for Building and Construction projects with built up area of 20,000 sq. mts. to 1,50,000 sq. mts.

2. Clause 5 of the OM, which requires clarification is being reproduced below:

"5. Accordingly, matter is being examined and it has been decided that any change in configuration/planning/design of the appraised building Project for which EC was granted shall not require amendment of EC subject to no change in (i) Built Up Area (ii) Floor Area Ratio (FAR) (iii) change in exterior spaces/green belts, parking, walkways and driveways that are covered including attics and outdoor sports courts. Further there shall be no change in the designated use of the building, number of dwelling units, height of the building, number of floors & basements and total excavation of earth of the building/construction/ township/area development project so as not to require any changes in the already approved Environmental Impact Analysis (EIA) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP)."

3. Pertinently, any changes on account of change in built up area, floor area ratio, change in spaces, green belts, parking, walkways, driveways, change in use of designated building, number of dwelling units, height of building, number of floors and basements that do not resultantly change the environmental parameters like EIA and EMP of a Project are within the ambit of **permissible changes** as provided under Clause 7 of the OM dated May 5,2022.
4. At present, the SEIAA and SEAC are insisting on an amended EC for projects which have undergone conceptual and minor changes even though these changes/additions/alterations do not cause a change in the approved EIA and EMP. There is an incongruency in the way the OM dated May 5,2022 is being interpreted by the SEIAA/SEAC and overall objective of the and the EIA Notification, 2006. Hence, the undersigned approached Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J. Kathawalla (Retd.) to give a legal opinion on certain queries relating to the interpretation of the said OM against the backdrop of the EIA Notification 2006 and the OM dated 19 June 2013.

Maharashtra Chamber of Housing Industry

Maker Bhavan II, 4th Floor, 18, V. Thackersey Marg, New Marine Lines, Mumbai - 400 020.
Tel: 42121421, Fax: 4212 1411/407 Email: secretariat@mchi.net Website: www.mchi.net

5. By way of background, a summary of the backdrop in which the said OM is issued is comprehensively provided hereinbelow:
 - a) The OM had been issued after representations were received from various stakeholders that when minor changes are being made in building projects (covered under Project / Activity No. 8 to the Schedule to the EIA Notification 2006) at the time of execution/implementation of the projects and when such changes do not consequently impact the environmental parameters of the project, then in such cases amendment of EC should not be insisted upon.
 - b) The letter and spirit of the OM is to be found in the EIA Notification and the OM dated 19 June 2013 issued by MOEF, which have clearly set out the scope and power of the SEIAA and SEAC when appraising projects under Schedule 8 of the EIA Notification 2006. Hence, when conceptual changes are being made to projects owing to statutory requirements and when the same do not in any way change the parameters contained in the Environment Management Plan, such project proponents need not once again approach the SEIAA for amendment in EC. The reason and logic behind such exemption is that SEIAA and SEAC have been constituted under the EIA Notification 2006 for a specific purpose i.e. to appraise the environmental sustainability of 'Building and Construction' and 'Township and Area Development' projects. The scope of appraisal of projects by the SEIAA and SEAC is limited to testing the environmental impact of such projects.
 - c) The MOEF vide OM dated 11.12.2012, had constituted a Committee under the Chairmanship of Dr. K. Kasturirangan, to review the provisions of the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006. One of the terms of reference (ToR) of the Committee was to review the requirement of environment clearance for buildings and real estate projects to avoid duplication considering that such projects will be covered by the local civic authorities and under the relevant building control regulations. The Committee laid down guidelines to be followed by SEIAA/SEAC to focus only on thrust areas of environmental sustainability while appraising the 'Building and Construction' and 'Township and Area Development' projects. Clause 2(iii) of the MoEF OM dated 19 June 2013 enlists all these factors. Importantly, the Committee has stated that *"The SEIAA/SEAC need not focus on the other issues which are normally looked after by the concerned local bodies/State Government Departments/SPCBs"*. This has been reiterated by the MOEF vide OM dated 10 November 2015.
6. An excerpt of the legal opinion dated 13 November 2023 on the interpretation of the said OM which has been issued by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J. Kathawalla (Retd.) is being reproduced hereinbelow:

5.14. ***"Therefore, on a combined reading of the EIA Notification, the recommendation of the Kasturirangan Committee, the OM dated 19 June 2013, and a contextual reading of paragraphs 3, 4 and 7 of the 2022 OM, it appears that the 2022 OM does not contemplate amendment of the EC where changes are made to conceptual plans of the Project (on the basis of which the EC has been granted), so long as those changes do not adversely impact the Project's Environmental Parameters of the nature specified in the OMs dated 19th June 2013 and 10th November 2015.***

5.15. ***The aforesaid construction of paragraph 5 of the 2022 OM is also fortified by the fact that the EIA Notification 2006 and the OM dated 19 June 2013 clearly provide that SEIAA is not required to focus on issues which are normally looked after by the concerned local bodies/ State Government Departments / SPCBs. This is also in consonance with the intent of the EIA Notification and the OM dated 19 June 2013 which restrict the scope and power of SEIAA or SEAC to only ascertain environment parameters/environmental sustainability of projects while granting the EC.***

5.16. ***Any other construction or interpretation of paragraph 5 of the 2022 OM would defeat the very purpose of issuance of that OM as adumbrated in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the same OM, and would also amount to a negation of the spirit of the OM's dated 19th June 2013 and 10th November 2015, which clearly does not appear to be the intent or purpose of the 2022 OM.***

A copy of the Legal Opinion dated 13 November 2023 issued by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J. Kathawalla (Retd.) is annexed hereto as **Annexure A**.

7. Therefore, considering the legal opinion issued by Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J. Kathawalla (Retd.), Clause 5 of the said OM requires a further clarification for the reasons more comprehensively stated hereinabove and since the SEAC/SEIAA continue to insist on amendment in EC despite no changes in environmental parameters.
8. Accordingly, in the interest of the stakeholders at large, as projects are getting delayed due to the insistence of SEAC/SEIAA to get an amendment in EC even when there are no changes in environmental parameters. Further, due to huge upfront capital investment and in the interest and ease of doing business, we hereby request the Ministry to clarify the matter. We have drawn up a clarification to the said OM which we believe is in tandem with the EIA Notification, 2006 and the OM dated 19 June 2013 and may assist the MOEF in clarifying the true meaning and purport of the said OM. A copy of the clarification to said OM to assist the MOEF is annexed hereto as **Annexure B**.
9. We hope that our representation will be considered and accordingly a further clarification will be issued in that regard.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,
For **CREDAI-MCHI**



Domnic Romell
President



Dhaval Ajmera
Hon. Secretary

Enclosed:

1. Legal Opinion of Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J. Kathawalla (Retd.) – Annexure A
2. Clarification to OM dtd. 19 June 2013 – Annexure B
3. EIA Notification, 2006 – Annexure C
4. OM dated 19 June 2013 – Annexure D