

लिपिक  
उपमुख्यमंत्री यांचे कार्यालय  
महाराष्ट्र शासन  
मंत्रालय, मुंबई ४०० ०३२  
६/८/२५

To,  
Shri Eknath Shinde ji,  
Hon'ble Dy. Chief Minister &  
Minister for Housing,  
Government of Maharashtra,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai - 400032

**Sub: Request for Parity in Recovery of Land Premium for Municipal Tenanted Plots  
under Cluster Development (Reg. 33(9)) in line with Reg. 33(7).**

Respected Sir,

We extend our warm regards and seek your kind attention towards an important matter concerning the redevelopment of Municipal tenanted plots under DCPR 2034.

The Government of Maharashtra has commendably introduced several modifications to Regulation 33(9), promoting cluster development for sustainable, planned growth. These initiatives have significantly benefited tenants, landlords and the Municipal Corporation by enabling organized redevelopment, ensuring environmental compliance, and enhancing green urban infrastructure.

Historically, Municipal tenanted plots owned by the BMC and occupied by tenants or encroachments on reserved lands were redeveloped under Regulation 33(7). However, this often resulted in fragmented and haphazard development, limiting benefits to both tenants and the BMC.

With the advent of cluster development under Regulation 33(9), there is now a clear pathway for comprehensive, planned redevelopment.

- Higher premiums due to the scale of development.

Housing policy of Government of Maharashtra clearly indicates that Cluster Development is to be encouraged.

However, contrary to Housing policy and direction of Government of Maharashtra to promote Cluster Development, BMC on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2025 has framed policy of charging Land Premium for redevelopment projects vide circular No. DY Ch Eng(IMP)/1213 dated 07.01.2025. The Land Premium proposed to be charged is 40% of SDRR, under the aforesaid Circular.

We request Government of Maharashtra to issue directive to BMC, not to charge any Land Premium for redevelopment projects under Cluster development for following reasons:

- To encourage cluster development, Government of Maharashtra dropped the provision of charging 25% of SDRR, which was proposed in **DRAFT DCPR 2034**, in the **DCPR 2034**. When even 25% of SDRR was dropped in DCPR 2034, the recovery proposed at 40% of SDRR as a policy by BMC is not justified.

**Maharashtra Chamber of Housing Industry**

Maker Bhavan II, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, 18, V. Thackersey Marg, New Marine Lines, Mumbai - 400 020.  
Tel: 42121421, Fax: 4212 1411/407 Email: secretariat@mchi.net Website: [www.mchi.net](http://www.mchi.net)

CREDAI-MCHI CHAPTERS : THANE | KALYAN-DOMBIVLI | MIRA BHAYANDAR | RAIGAD | NAVI MUMBAI |  
BHIWANDI | PALGHAR BOISAR | SHAHAPUR-MURBAD | URAN-DRONAGIRI |  
VASAI VIRAR | ALIBAG | KARIJAT-KHALAPUR-KHOPOLI | YOUTH NMR

**PRESIDENT**

Domnic Romell

**IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT**

Boman Irani

**PRESIDENT-ELECT**

Ajay Ashar

**STRATEGIC ADVISOR**

Abhishek Lodha

**SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS**

Parag Shah

Jayesh Shah

Sukhray Nahar

Sandeep Raheja

Rasesh Kanakia

**VICE PRESIDENTS**

Bandish Ajmera

Shailesh Puranik

Pritam Chivukula

Amit Thacker

Jackbastian Nazareth

**SECRETARY**

Dhaval Ajmera

**TREASURER**

Nikunj Sanghavi

**JOINT SECRETARIES**

Tejas Vyas

Pratik Patel

Sunny Bijlani

Rushi Mehta

**JOINT TREASURER**

Gurminder Singh Seera

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Shahid Balwa

Subodh Runwal

Parag Munot

Nainesh Shah

Mukesh Patel

Munish Doshi

Raajesh Prajapati

Shailesh Sanghvi

Parth Mehta

Harmohan Sahni

Jayvardhan Goenka

Umang Kuwadia

Prashant Khandelwal

Binitha Dalal

Ayushi Ashar

Samyag Shah

Ricardo Romell

**SPECIAL ADVISORS**

Ar. Hafeez Contractor

Adv. Parimal Shroff

Anuj Puri

**STATISTICS AND RESEARCH**

Dr. Adv. Harshul Savla

**INVITEE MEMBERS**

Rahul Sagar

Ramkrishna Raheja

Nishant Agarwal

Harsh Hiranandani

Ajay Nahar

Azim F. Tapia

Cherag Ramakrishnan

Vijay Lakhani

Jayesh Chauhan

Aditya Shah

Shraddha Goradia

Sudhanshu Agarwal

Hussain Lalani

Sahil Parikh

Aditya Mirchandani

Rushi Ajmera

**YOUTHWING CONVENOR**

Naman Shah

**PROCUREMENT CONVENOR**

Nimish Ajmera

**WOMEN'S WING CHAIRPERSON**

Jesal Shah

- (ii) The BMC only grant Lease of the Land for Cluster redevelopment under 33(9), hence levying the Premium equivalent to acquisition value, where ownership is fully transferred is not justified.
- (iii) Under Regulation 33(7) there is no provision to charge Land Premium and hence **NO LAND PREMIUM IS CHARGED**, for redevelopment Scheme of redevelopment under Regulation 33(7). While there is no debate that redevelopment under Regulation 33(9) is much preferred option from point of view of orderly development of City whereas redevelopment under 33(7) is fragment and haphazard development.
- (iv) Cluster Development under Regulation 33(9) generates much higher PAP, then any other redevelopment schemes under Regulation 33 of DCPR 2034.
- (v) Cluster Development can also generate additional PAP under regulation 33(9) (2D) Generation of PAP enables speedy development of City Infrastructure.

Since Cluster Development enables rehousing of tenants/slumdwellers/occupants, enables improving infrastructure and orderly development over large areas, it should be encouraged and BMC should not levy any Land Premium.

Yours sincerely,  
For **CREDAI-MCHI**



**Domnic Romell**  
President



**Dhaval Ajmera**  
Hon. Secretary



**Keval Valambhia**  
Chief Operations Officer  
Mob: +91 98709 85061